

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,899	08/18/2003	Masamichi Saito	9281-4631	3209	
7:	590 04/12/2006		EXAM	INER	
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione			KLIMOWICZ, WI	KLIMOWICZ, WILLIAM JOSEPH	
P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 6			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i>3</i> ,			2627		
			DATE MAILED: 04/12/2000	DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/642,899	SAITO ET AL.
• Office A	ction Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
-		William J. Klimowicz	2627
The MAILING Period for Reply	B DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED ST WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fre - If NO period for reply is s - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	DNGER, FROM THE MAILING DA e available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. orn the mailing date of this communication. pecified above, the maximum statutory period verset or extended period for reply will, by statute	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) ☐ This action is 3) ☐ Since this app	olication is in condition for allowar	ugust 2003. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the abo 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	_ is/are rejected	vn from consideration.	
_	on is shipping to but he fluoring	_	
10) The drawing(s Applicant may r Replacement d	not request that any objection to the crawing sheet(s) including the correction	r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objection in the interval of the attached office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119		
a) All b) Solution So	ome * c) None of: I copies of the priority documents I copies of the priority documents of the certified copies of the prior ion from the International Bureau	s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References C Notice of Draftsperson's Information Disclosure S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/642,899 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Specie I: Corresponding to Figures 1-3.

Specie II: Corresponding to Figures 4-6.

Specie III: Corresponding to Figure 7.

Specie IV: Corresponding to Figures 8-10.

Specie V: Corresponding to Figures 11-13.

The species are independent or distinct since *each* of the Species and/or subspecies has been described, articulated and depicted in the applicant's specification and drawings as per the Groupings, *supra*, as being mutually exclusive to the other enumerated Species and/or subspecies.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably independent or distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,899

Art Unit: 2627

Applicant should further identify any claims generic or subgeneric to any and/ort all Specie Groupings articulated, *supra*.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the réply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2627

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William J. Klimowicz whose telephone number is (571) 272-7577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30AM-5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Thi Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (coll-free).

William J. Klimowicz Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627